Case 2:04-cr-00315-WBS Document 32 Filed 11/21/05 Page 1 of 3

```
1
    QUIN DENVIR, Bar #49374
    Federal Defender
 2
    Dennis S. Waks, Bar #142581
    Supervising Assistant Federal Defender
 3
    801 I Street, 3rd Floor
    Sacramento, California 95814
 4
    Telephone (916) 498-5700
 5
    Attorney for Defendant
    MARCOS LESMON BENAVIDEZ
 6
                       IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 7
 8
                      FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 9
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                      Case No. CR. S-04-315-WBS
10
                 Plaintiff,
                                       STIPULATION AND REQUEST FOR
                                      CONTINUANCE AND FINDING OF
11
                                      EXCLUDABLE TIME UNDER THE
           v.
                                       SPEEDY TRIAL ACT AND LOCAL
12
    MARCOS LESMON BENAVIDEZ,
                                      CODES T4 and T2; ORDER
                                      EXCLUDING TIME
13
                 Defendant.
                                              January 18, 2006
                                       Date:
14
                                              9:00 a.m.
                                      Time:
15
                                       Judge: Hon. William B. Shubb
16
           It is hereby stipulated and agreed to between the United States
17
18
```

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of America through DANIEL LINHARDT, Supervising Assistant U.S.
Attorney, and defendant, MARCOS LESMON BENAVIDEZ, by and though his counsel, DENNIS S. WAKS, Supervising Assistant Federal Defender, that the status conference hearing set for November 23, 2005 be vacated and that a change of plea hearing be set for January 18, 2006 at 9:00 a.m.

This continuance is requested because counsel needs time to review and analyze discovery received from the government, and to discuss it with Mr. Benavidez. Defense counsel has received over 3000 pages of claim documents from the government, which need to be discussed with Mr. Benavidez. Defense counsel has also received four

Case 2:04-cr-00315-WBS Document 32 Filed 11/21/05 Page 2 of 3

audiotapes in Spanish. The defendant has requested additional investigative documents, including reports, copies of any witness interviews, and additional information from the investigating agent.

Both parties believe that based on the documents produced to date, and the outstanding documents sought by the defense, this case is complex under the standard set forth in Local Code T2 and Title 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(ii). The Court has previously found that it is complex. The parties ask that time to be excluded on that basis and for preparation of new defense counsel (Local Code T4).

All parties desire that a change of plea hearing be set on January 18, 2006. The parties further stipulate and agree that time from the date of the order below until January 18, 2006, be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to Local Codes T2 and T4, Title 18, U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) and (iv), because the case is complex and to give defense counsel reasonable time to prepare, conduct investigation,

and discuss the case with Mr. Benavidez and the government.

Dated: November 18, 2005 Respectfully submitted,

QUIN DENVIR Federal Public Defender

> By /s/ Dennis S. Waks DENNIS S. WAKS Sup. Assistant Federal Defender Attorney for Defendant MARCOS LESMON BENAVIDEZ

Dated: November 18, 2005 MCGREGOR SCOTT United States Attorney

> /s/ Dennis S. Waks for DANIEL LINHARDT Sup. Assistant U.S. Attorney Attorney for Plaintiff

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

Case 2:04-cr-00315-WBS Document 32 Filed 11/21/05 Page 3 of 3

ORDER

The Court makes a finding of excludable time IT IS SO ORDERED. as set forth in the attached stipulation. The time between the date of this order through January 18, 2006, will be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to Local Codes T2 and T4, Title 18, U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) and (iv). The Court specifically finds that the case is complex as provided in 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii). The court also specifically finds that a continuance is necessary to give counsel for the defendant reasonable time to prepare in this matter. The court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

DATED: November 18, 2005

lion B. Subt

WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE